

WELLINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Regular Meeting of the Parish Council held at the Community Centre
at 7.30pm on Thursday 4th July 2019

Item	<u>Minutes</u>	
	Present: Parish Cllrs: Mrs J Gowan (Chair), Mr S Crane, Mr S Marron, Mr B Prince Also in attendance: Mrs Chris Bucknell (Parish Clerk) and 15 members of the public.	
1.00	To Accept Apologies for Absence – Cllr Lyn Langford and Ward Cllr Pauline Crockett	
2.00	To Receive Declarations of Interest There were no declarations of interest 2.1 To Consider Requests for Dispensations There were no requests for dispensation	
3.0	To Adopt the Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Parish Council held on Monday 20th May 2019 Resolved: The Minutes were confirmed and signed by the Chair	
4.0	To Receive Brief Reports from 4.1 Ward Cllr Pauline Crockett Cllr Crockett was not in attendance but had submitted a report which was read out and summarised below: The new administration had been addressing numerous issues that needed decisions or reviews. Both the Southern Link Road and proposed Western Bypass projects had been put on hold so that no further money was spent until a long-term decision was made. As Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Wellbeing, Cllr Crockett stated she had been liaising with many Council Officers to review and assess ongoing projects and agendas, including exploring ways of reaching out to rural community areas to facilitate individual needs. More local cohesion through community hubs, offering assistance and signposting to opportunities or services was also being addressed. Cllr Crockett said she was still following the planning of the Section 106 projects and is working hard to support Wellington to get what is right for the village and the residents. 4.1.1 Update on Meeting with Bruce Evans reference A49 Cllr Crockett said she was still waiting for Highways England to supply a date for the meeting and suggested that it took place with local input from Herefordshire Council. 4.1.2 Update on Herefordshire Council's response to 30 mph issues There was no further update.	
5.0	Communications and Updates (not requiring decision) 5.1 Autumn Newsletter The next issue of the newsletter needed to be ready for circulation in mid-September and Cllr Gowan asked Councillors to send her any ideas for content by the end of the month. She said she intended to put in something from Ward Cllr Crockett and from the CLP as well as an explanation about the two bus stops. 5.2 Update on Crime from West Mercia Website and PCC Parish Survey There were no local crime reports although Cllr Gowan noted that it did state that there had been a	

20% decrease in burglaries across the county.

Late Update: Herefordshire Council was consulting on the location of polling districts and polling stations and the proposals would be available to view from 15th July with a consultation until 23rd September. A notice would be put on the noticeboard and Cllrs were asked to give Cllr Gowan any comments they have may have on the proposals on or before 15th July. The results would be included on the October agenda if available.

6.0 Open Time - To Note Matters Raised by Local Residents Relevant to the Parish

There were no issues raised

7.0 Financial Matters

7.1 To Receive a Report from the RFO

Bank balances: Treasurer's Account £16,665.69. Business Instant Access £49,144.67.

Income since the May meeting

- Interest £2.15
- Carrot and Wine June and July rent £1,400

Invoices issued

01/19 Carrot and Wine for 75% of the Buildings Insurance - £522.26

02/19 Marden Parish Council for 20 bags of Viafix - £319

03/19 Carrot and Wine for 50% of Cost of Fire Protection Inspection - £46.00

A Freedom of Information Request had been received from Hereford Times relating to money paid to HALC over the past year. As Wellington was not a member this was £0 and has been reported as such.

7.2: To Agree Payments made since last meeting

Payment	Expenditure	Amount
BACS	Herefordshire Fire Protection Services invoice 168459. Service and replacement of fire extinguishers. 50% invoiced to Carrot and Wine. £92.39 + VAT	£110.87
BACS	Viatic invoice 1970826. 40 bags Viafix. 50% invoiced to Marden PC. £638 + VAT	£765.60
BACS	Direct 365 invoice 028012. Hygiene Disposal contract 03/06/19 – 02/06/20 £134.73 + VAT	£161.68
BACS	Came and Company policy No 62074555. Insurance cover 01/06/19 – 30/05/20. 75% of building policy cost invoiced back to Carrot and Wine	£1115.16
BACS	Gift for Internal Auditor	£50.00
BACS	D C Gardening invoice SI-437 Grounds Maintenance (May), Bus stop and Ford Meadow £485 + VAT	£582.00
BACS	Lynette Jones. Cleaning at Community Hub (May)	£50.00

7.3: To agree payment of outstanding invoices

Payment	Expenditure	Amount
BACS	Clerks net salary quarter 1 as per salary sheet	£1,114.40
BACS	HMRC Payment related to Clerks Salary qtr 1	£278.40
BACS	Clerks Expenses Quarter 1 including travel and printing	£43.17
BACS	WCA Room Hire April-June	£60.00
BACS	D C Gardening invoice SI-455 Grounds Maintenance (June), Bus stop and Ford Meadow £485 + VAT	£582.00
BACS	Shirley Edgar. Payment for Engraved Plaque for Jenni Guille £119.25 + VAT	£143.10
Chq 1059	Donation towards the British Legion Poppy Appeal (to include wreath for Remembrance Sunday). This is not required to be paid until Sept/October.	£50.00

7.4: To Consider Donation to Cemetery Gate

There was a need to replace the existing gate and also widen the access for more up to date grave-digging equipment. The PCC would like to install two gates, the second one being into the new

extension to the cemetery. It was confirmed at the meeting that the gates would cost £100 each and the posts £80. The PCC would inform the PC when the donation was required.

Resolved: To donate £150 towards the purchase and installation of the gates. The Clerk asked for a copy of a supporting document, such as an invoice, for the accounting records.

7.5: To Consider Allowances Scheme for Parish Councillors

A letter from Caroline Marshall (Democratic Service Officer) had been circulated to all to all Cllrs in advance of the meeting. This related to the payment of general allowances for Cllrs and did not affect the ability to pay travel and subsistence for specific agreed activities. The Parish Council had been asked to notify Democratic Services of their decision regarding whether they intend to pay an allowance to Councillors.

Resolved: The Parish Council agreed that it would not pay general allowances to Cllrs.

Clerk

8.0 To Consider Planning Matters

8.1 To Consider New Planning Applications - There had been no new Planning Applications

8.2: To Note any Decisions/Notifications Received

Reference Number	Application	Decision
191058	Dernside, Bridge Lane, Wellington – proposed single story extension	Approved with Conditions
183953	Wellington Court, Wellington – replace two single glazed Crittal style metal windows on the North east corner of the property with double glazed wooden / metal sash windows	Awaiting Decision
182878	Land Adjacent to Graveyard, Wellington – proposed erection of 15 dwellings	Awaiting Decision
191122	Hayward Industrial Estate – proposed erection of industrial steel frame building to be used for the sorting of waste prior to onward transfer off site.	Awaiting Decision
183600	Hayward Industrial Estate – Retrospective application for a workshop building to serve pre-existing operations on site	Awaiting Decision

Cllr Gowan gave an update on the new and retrospective Hayward Lane applications, both of which were still outstanding, saying that since Highways England had originally put in an objection asking for more information and commenting about the unsuitability of the junction, then raised no objections. Their response was read out at the meeting and summarised below:

(Highways England) It is noted that whilst planning application 181468 was withdrawn prior to determination, advice was sought from the Environment Agency with regard to the permit for use of the site. This information was reviewed along with the application details for application 191122 and it was concluded that the internal changes to erect a building to sort transferred waste within the site was to benefit the operation of the facility. With the above in mind, it is unlikely that these internal changes to the operation of the site would significantly increase vehicle traffic using the junction with the A49. Further, the accident statistics for the junction indicate the junction is currently operating in a satisfactory manner and no further comment will be made at this stage.

Cllr Gowan assured the meeting that this would be raised by herself and Cllr Crockett at the long-awaited meeting which was still to be arranged.

Cllr Gowan also asked for it to be recorded that there were no objections submitted by the two residents who had complained about light pollution affecting their homes. On this basis she felt it must be assumed that either things had improved, or the residents were not going to pursue it, and on that basis the Parish Council was considering the matter closed.

JG

8.3 To Consider Other Planning Issues

Update on Land by the Graveyard - Cllr Gowan noted that from the planning website it appeared that nothing had moved forward and it was therefore assumed that negotiations were still ongoing regarding the 106 terms. There had been a query from a member of the public concerning the fact that there was a footpath shown on the plans which effectively went nowhere. The Parish Council had commented on this in their response to the application and there was nothing further that could be done. As the landowner would retain the small triangle of land at the rear of the existing houses, it was assumed the path could be needed for their own purposes.

9.0 To Consider Highways Issues**9.1 A49 Issues**

Cllr Gowan mentioned the serious RTA which occurred on Wednesday evening, saying that there was speculation that speed was the cause, but this has yet to be confirmed.

9.2. To Receive any other Highways Issues**9.2.1 Update on Potential Weight Restriction on Access Roads**

At the May meeting the Parish Council had undertaken to explore applying for a weight restriction on village roads, especially in view of what had been happening with the cement lorries and with potential new quarrying operations in the future. The intention was to see if some Section 106 transport funding might be used. It had become clear that the process involved would cost far more than the available Section 106 funding and was more complex than it had first appeared.

Cllr Gowan read out the response she had received following consultation with various contacts within BBLP and Herefordshire Council.:

(Mark Hadley, Balfour Beatty) The first stage would need to identify the actual volume and nature of any HGV movements through the village and would require investigation (likely an Automatic Number Plate Registration (APNR) survey) to identify the origin and destination of trips through the village. This could cost in excess of £8,000 to identify the pattern of movements.

The next element would be to consider the knock-on consequences on any alternate routes which a ban through Wellington might result in displaced trips. This would start to extend the consultation to neighbouring wards (3) and Parishes (9) when considering movements between the A49 (up to 7 possible junctions) and A4110 (9 junctions). Depending on the options and limitations the knock-on effect on routes through Hereford and Leominster (the latter particularly impacted by the Air Quality Management Area at Bargates) could give rise to complications. Any option would need to ensure that there was continuity and no "dead end" routes.

As with the possible route options, signage both at the junctions and in advance for route decisions would be another element to cost. With the A49 this would involve Highways England and add further financial needs.

It was noted that it might be worth considering the future impacts of the potential A49 Hereford Western Bypass and Transport Package as this higher quality route might be supported by wider measures to manage traffic flow and encourage HGV's on higher order roads.

Overall, whilst the Section 106 did allow for such investigations under the associated heads of terms with highway safety, signage and traffic calming measures, and whilst such a ban is also technical feasible and could be seen as appropriate, the scale of works necessary would be in excess of the available funds.

On this basis the Parish Council took the view that it was not worth pursuing, although it was noted that this would be reviewed if the situation escalated dramatically and/or as the Quarry operations developed.

A resident mentioned about the increasingly heavy traffic on the A4110, particularly through the narrow section where large HGVs could not pass each other. It was noted that this was in Burghill

	Parish and Cllr Gowan would contact Ward Cllr Crockett. 9.2.2 Result of Traffic Count Outside School Cllr Gowan reported that now the 20mph signs were installed outside the school the Parish Council had commissioned an automatic traffic count which logged volume and speed at times throughout the day. The results of this had now been received and once analysed would be brought to the September meeting. 9.2.3 Potential Signage to Enforce Parking Restrictions Outside School This had not been pursued earlier because at the time the school bus needed to park outside the school. Cllr Gowan now had confirmation that there will be no school bus after the end of the summer term and suggested that the issue of signage was looked into again and a costed proposal brought back to the September meeting. This was agreed. 9.2.4 Update on Identified Maintenance Work Following the May meeting Cllr Prince had met with Phil Pankhurst and identified that much of the required pothole work fell under the jurisdiction of BBLP. The Parish Council had purchased 40 bags of Vifix (which had been shared with Marden Parish Council) and this would be used repairing some of the minor defects, especially on the North Lane and also Holland Lane. The Lengthsman had also been asked to carry out some strimming back of overgrowth on the path beyond Church Close down to the cemetery. The Clerk noted that the previous Lengthsman, Richard Mills, was now not doing Parish Council work and Dave Campbell had taken over the role of Lengthsman. 9.2.5 Update on Progress of Allocation of Section 106 Funding The footpath resurfacing that had been done from Cutthorn to the Church had made that stretch of footpath much safer to use. Cllr Gowan had received thanks from Sylvia Winney for the work that the Parish Council and Balfour Beatty had done which had enabled her husband to travel down the pavement on his mobility scooter, which previously had not been possible. Thanks were also given to the CLP Group for highlighting the need for greater accessibility in the Parish. The bus-stop work at the Vinery and Mill Lane was scheduled to be done during the school summer holidays. Cllr Gowan said that once this and the Traffic Regulation Order were all taken account of, there would be a clearer idea of what was left in the 106 budget. 9.2.6 Update on Auberrow Speed Review and other 30 mph Issues Cllr Gowan reported that the review of the TROs for the whole of the village, including an extension through Auberrow, would take until the end of the year to complete. Some of the statutory consultees, such as the Parish Council, had already been contacted and BBLP would conduct a consultation with residents where needed. The Auberrow data had already been received and any key facts from this data would be brought to the September meeting. 9.2.7 Identification of Work to be Carried Out and any other Updates It was noted that there were some bad potholes from A49 to Moreton. Some had been circled but not all. Cllr Gowan would contact Phil Pankhurst. It was also noted that a further drive round was required as there were defects on The Row, at the bottom of the drive to Ridges and at Auberrow by Little Orchard.	JG JG JG
10.0	Report on Footpaths 10.1 Update on Footpath Maintenance Cllr Crane reported that currently many of the paths were very overgrown, particularly due to the exceptional weather during the past 3/4 weeks. Cllr Crane had made a list of those needing attention and prioritised the ones that need to be cut back or strimmed and would get a quote. This would be followed up between meetings. It was also noted that some paths, which had previously been looked after by residents, had become overgrown and these would also be kept under review. There were a number of issues with farmland where landowners had been asked, unsuccessfully, to reinstate the PROW, these had then been referred to the Enforcement Team, specifically the 2 paths on Moreton on Lugg boundary. There were ongoing issues with WG15 which were currently with the Enforcement Team, who were unwilling to commit the expense of taking it to court, particularly as, where crops	SC

	were the issue, these would be harvested and the path clear before the issue had progressed. Cllr Crane noted that so much relied on goodwill and there was a limit to what could be done. Following the CLP Meeting it had been identified that there were to be 2 replacement stiles and 2 gates installed once permission had been obtained from the landowner. Cllr Crane would send the details to the Clerk so that letters could be sent. It was mentioned that the path alongside WG7 The Mill was becoming increasingly overgrown and Cllr Crane would discuss this with the resident. A parishioner mentioned about a dead elm tree on WG11, overhanging Parsonage Fields. Cllr Crane would look into this. A parishioner asked for clarification on the use of barbed wire on stiles which had been discussed at the May meeting. Cllr Crane reiterated that Phil Pankhurst had said that barbed wire attaching fencing to stiles was acceptable as long as the barbs were either flattened or removed at the point where they were joined onto the stile. 10.2 Update on Actions from May Meeting (WR6 and Overgrown Hedges) Following the meeting, letters had been sent to Plough House and East Cottage. The hedge at the side of Plough House had now been cut back but only to 1 meter and it was now growing again, with the potential to block the path. The hedge at East Cottage had been cut back. Cllr Crane was still looking into the crop issue and the tenancy issues with Wooton Farm. 10.3 Ordnance Survey There was nothing to report on this	SC SC
11.0	Parishioners' Requests Cllr Gowan explained that since the last meeting there had been some comments and requests from parishioners which needed to be discussed and minuted. 11.1 Western Power – Brief Power Cuts It was noted that Wellington seemed to suffer from numerous short power cuts, often lasting less than a minute, but enough to affect automatic timers, burglar alarms etc. This had resulted in some parishioners incurring expense on replacing sensors and other equipment which had been affected by the outages. It was agreed that Councillor Gowan should write to Western Power expressing concern about the situation and asking for further information about why these cuts were happening. 11.2 Responsibilities and Requests for Cultivation Licence at Parsonage Fields Cllr Gowan explained the background to the issue which had been started by a dialogue between a parishioner in Parsonage Fields and BBLP directly, asking that the grassed area at the entrance to the development be left as a wildflower meadow; not mowed to the BBLP schedule but maintained at specific times to suit the crop of flowers. It had only recently been confirmed that adoption of Parsonage Fields had taken place in March 2019 but there appeared to have been a communications issue between the Council and BBLP which had resulted in the area not appearing on BBLPs maintenance schedule, which was why, to date, it had not been mowed. Following discussion, it was felt there were several options. Firstly, the residents of Parsonage Fields could opt to self-maintain and if that was what was wanted, BBLP would un-adopt the land in question and would carry out no further mowing. BBLP had already provided the resident advice on road safety issues – visibility splays etc. Self-maintenance required a cultivation license which the Parish Council would need to apply for. There was a need for the Parish Council to discuss and reach a decision on whether they were prepared to accept any responsibility, financial or otherwise for the maintenance of this area as the resident had suggested that the Parish Council pay the Lengthsman to carry out the maintenance work. The Parish Council had been provided with letters from all the residents saying they were happy for	JG

12.0	the area to be left wild, and the Parish Council had also had confirmation that the residents did not wish to self-maintain and on this basis there was no need for a cultivation license. A second option would be for DC Gardening to do the work which would be then invoiced back to one resident who would be responsible for payment of the full amount and subsequent distribution of the cost amongst the residents. Cllr Gowan then read out an email from the partner of the resident concerned which added a different perspective to the proposals and which suggested that the Parish Council take advice from Herefordshire Wildlife and also consider including Millway in the proposals for a Wildflower Meadow. A further issue had now arisen and that is one of weeds in the roadway at Parsonage Fields, with Balfour Beatty not carrying out weed spraying unless it became a safety critical issue. Residents from Parsonage Fields were present at the meeting and one in particular read out the following statement, noting that this was his personal view and should not be seen as a criticism of the residents who had raised the issued. <i>I expressed support but only for the triangle and not the other roadside verges. We signed up on the basis that if the area was to be mown so infrequently that it would look a mess anyway it was better to have some control over the matter. Further investigation would suggest that there is a lot more to this than was immediately obvious, for example the need for a licence.</i> <i>This raises numerous questions. To whom will the licence and subsequently the responsibility for the area be granted? To establish a worthwhile wildlife meadow takes more work and management than is anticipated. Will the highway authority approve a scheme where long grass hides young children playing in the road and cast seeds onto the block paving? The letter we referred to mowing only twice a year, someone else has said three times and yet another five or six times. If it is the latter, then it changes many perceptions of the look of the area during the year. Much more information is needed before we can once again support any scheme other than conventional mowing and until the facts are clearer we have withdrawn our letter of support.</i> The issues were comprehensively discussed with Cllr Prince saying that the residents of Millway had not been asked for their opinion prior to the email being sent and discussions prior to the meeting made it clear that they did not want a wildflower meadow. They wanted the grassed area, which children played on, cut back more frequently than was currently the case It was unanimously agreed that the Parish Council did not feel that the proposals were acceptable, it being obvious that residents had not been kept fully informed of all the details. It was accepted that the area at Parsonage Fields had experienced a delay in mowing due to the communication breakdown between Herefordshire Council and Balfour Beatty and Cllr Gowan would contact Phil Pankhurst to ensure the area was cut as soon as possible, particularly taking into account the safety and visibility issues highlighted by residents. It was noted that the block paving area at Parsonage Fields was a soak away that was insisted on by the planners and has membrane underneath - hence the residents' concerns about damage if they were to take that work on. It was felt that if the block paving was something that Herefordshire Council Planners insisted on then they should take responsibility for its maintenance. It was agreed that Cllr Gowan would contact Phil Pankhurst to escalate the mowing issue and get more information about the responsibility for the paved area. Cllr Gowan would draft a response to the residents who had put forward these suggestions and circulate it for approval. Update on WCA Plans for Play Area and Allocation of Section 106 Funding Cllr Gowan gave the following report on the WCA's plans to get a children's play area on a shared facility basis with the school where there is already some play equipment installed, saying that this was a brief update only and there was to be no discussion or questions.	JG JG JG
------	--	-------------------------------

	Currently the scheme envisaged that the existing area would be open in the summer holidays and then, if successful, would be open to the public out of school hours (but clearly closed for the rest of the time). This was a WCA initiative and they would take full responsibility for it – whether that be future maintenance, insurance etc, there were no final details available at this stage. Cllr Gowan added that there was also a plan to extend the existing play area using 106 money that had been earmarked for an all-access play area if land could have been found. The Parish Council would be kept informed of progress by the WCA and would be establishing what involvement was needed in agreeing and administering the use of Section 106 funds. Cllr Gowan stressed that as this was a WCA project it would only be on Parish Council agendas as, when and if decisions were needed on that money, stressing that the Parish Council would need to be assured that spending public money was to the benefit of as many people as possible and used to secure the long-term future of any such project.	
13.0	Community Hub 13.1 To Consider Access Improvements Cllr Gowan noted that there had still been no response from Hook Mason regarding quotations. The Parish Council had now identified potential suppliers, and these would be followed up. Cllr Gowan asked Councillors for a volunteer to take the project on and Cllr Marron said that he was willing to support Cllr Gowan to take this forward. 13.2 Update on Outside Lighting No progress had been made on this as the Chair had not heard back from Carrot and Wine about their electrician and when the work could be carried out without disruption to the business. It was agreed to arrange a meeting with Carrot and Wine to follow up on these issues. 13.3 Review of Closed WC Following the decision to close the toilet, up until the time of the meeting, the Parish Council had not been made aware of any complaints, either formally or to individual Councillors. It was noted that the shop staff were letting a few people have access, for specific reasons, but it was not felt this was a problem. It was recognised that the closure of the toilet may be causing issues for some people. However, it was agreed that the toilet remained shut and the drains inspected in another month's time to see if the problem had been resolved. 13.4 To Consider any Other Updates - There was nothing to report 13.5 To Consider Any Other Expenditure - There was no expenditure to date	Clerk
14.0	To Consider Noticeboard at The Marsh Cllr Marron currently had the damaged board and had been trying to repair it so that it could be re-used either by the Parish Council or by the CLP group. Two residents at the Marsh had indicated that the Board might be better placed at the far end of the Marsh near to the field footpath where dogs were walked. It was noted that there had been little communication from the Marsh residents other than Mrs Peters, who had confirmed that she was willing to carry on managing the board. It was agreed that if Cllr Marron were able to repair it, and if it could be locked, it was put back into its original location at the bus stop. If it could not be locked it would be offered to the CLP group and further consideration would need to be given to a noticeboard at The Marsh.	
15.0	Community Led Planning Groups 15.1 Jennie Guille Memorial The CLP Group now had the engraved plaque and stand and an informal unveiling was planned for July when the family were over in this country. A date for this was awaited. 15.2 Proposals for Phone Box The WellIMP Group were proposing that the Parish Council adopt the telephone box near Vinery Corner and that WellIMP volunteers convert it into a well looked after and useful asset for the community. This had been done in many other villages, where a decommissioned telephone box had been adopted by the community and converted into (for example) a library, an art gallery, the home	

for the defibrillator. Following investigation the WellIMP Group had established that BT stipulated that any “recognised local authority, parish/community/town council, registered charity or community interest company” could apply to adopt a phone box for a purchase price of £1. Where there was electricity to the box (as was the case in Wellington) BT would maintain this at no cost. There would be a small amount of expenditure incurred in fitting shelving etc.

It had also been established that no planning permission was required and no consent from Highways England as it was merely change of use.

Following adoption, the WellIMP group would organise a rota of volunteers with particular responsibility for the upkeep, cleanliness and content in the same way they managed Ford Meadow and the Community Notice Board. The Parish Council would need to add it to their asset register and include it in the insurance in a similar way to the seats and benches

The content of the Phone Box had still be to decided but suggestions had included a swap shop for toys, jigsaws etc, a display of historic pictures of Wellington, a place for notices of activity within the Parish.

It was agreed that the Parish Council would adopt the Phone Box. The Clerk asked that details of who to contact were sent to her and Cllr Gowan and Jennifer Jarrett will complete the relevant paperwork. It was also noted that WellIMP needed to commit to monitoring the phone box in terms of maintenance, safety and risk. This was agreed.

15.3 Accessible Footpath Project

A meeting had been held on 25th June where the scoping of various footpaths in Wellington had been undertaken. This first exercise raised several issues where the access and egress from and across the paths could be made more accessible to children and dogs and those less able. Cllr Crane, Parish Footpaths Officer, was already aware of many of these and was following them up. Other suggestions regarding handrails and extra posts by stiles were put forward and would be considered.

15.4 To Consider any Other Updates

IT Courses - The Wi-Fi was still not available in the Community Hall and other options were being looked into.

WellWEB – there were still some technical issues, and these were being looked into.

Ford Meadow – a series of volunteers were keeping on eye on it; thanks were given to these and especially to Mrs Makin who often picked up branches, etc.

15.3 To Consider any Expenditure

There was no expenditure other than that agreed in item 7.3 (Jennie Guille Memorial)

16.0 To Note the Information Sheet (July 2019) and any other updates

Resolved: The Information sheet was noted.

17.0 To Raise Items for the next Regular Meeting of the Parish Council (no discussion)

18.0 To Note Date and Time of the next Regular Meeting of the Parish Council

Thursday 5th September 2019 at 7.30pm in the Community Centre. There would be no meeting in August.

The Meeting closed at 9.30 pm

Signed Date 5th September 2019
Chairman of Wellington Parish Council